

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

ANNEMARIE LOCKWOOD,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 2:21-cv-12891

HONORABLE STEPHEN J. MURPHY, III

v.

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL  
SECURITY ADMINISTRATION,

Defendant.

---

**ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION [17],  
DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT [14],  
AND GRANTING DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT [16]**

The Commissioner of the Social Security Administration (“SSA”) denied the application of Plaintiff Annemarie Lockwood for Supplemental Security Income and Disability Insurance Benefits in a decision issued by an Administrative Law Judge (“ALJ”). ECF 11-3, PgID 237–39. After the SSA Appeals Council declined to review the ruling, Plaintiff appealed. ECF 1. The Court referred the matter to Magistrate Judge Patricia Morris, ECF 3, and the parties cross-moved for summary judgment. ECF 14; 16. The magistrate judge issued a Report and Recommendation (“Report”) suggesting the Court deny Plaintiff’s motion and grant the Commissioner’s motion. ECF 17. Civil Rule 72(b) governs review of a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation. De novo review of the magistrate judge’s findings is required only if the parties “serve and file specific written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2). Because neither party filed objections, de

novo review of the Report's conclusions is not required. After reviewing the record, the Court finds that the magistrate judge's conclusions are factually based and legally sound. Accordingly, the Court will adopt the Report's findings, deny Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment, and grant the Commissioner's motion for summary judgment.

**WHEREFORE**, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation [17] is **ADOPTED**.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment [14] is **DENIED**.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Commissioner's Motion for Summary Judgment [16] is **GRANTED**.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that this case is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.

This is a final order that closes the case.

**SO ORDERED.**

s/Stephen J. Murphy, III  
STEPHEN J. MURPHY, III  
United States District Judge

Dated: August 18, 2022

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was served upon the parties and/or counsel of record on August 18, 2022, by electronic and/or ordinary mail.

s/David P. Parker  
Case Manager